Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/508,779	MASAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
<u> </u>	Nathan W. Schlientz	1616
I Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Nathan W. Schlientz.	(3)	
(2) <u>Matthew Jacob</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 November 2007</u>	Time: <u>15:30</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-11 under 35 USC 103(a)		
Claims discussed: N/A		·
Prior art documents discussed: JP 06-040801, JP 05-038284, and JP 07-099965.	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
166000		
Æxaminer/SP E Signature)/ (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

**Application No. 10/508,779** 

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed attorney Jacob that the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) would be withdrawn in light of the differences between live cells and organs, and the difficulty in predicting the ability of a composition to preserve organs even if it has been shown to preserve live cells. However, the examiner will perform another prior art search to determine the patentability of the instant invention.